

OVERALL GUIDELINE ASSESSMENT

For each question, please choose the response which best characterizes the guideline assessed:

1. Rate the overall quality of this guideline.

1 Lowest possible quality	2	3	4 x	5	6	7 Highest possible quality
--	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------	---

2. I would recommend this guideline for use.

Yes	x
Yes, with modifications	
No	

NOTES

User's Manual Description:

The overall assessment requires the AGREE II user to make a judgment as to the quality of the guideline, taking into account the appraisal items considered in the assessment process.

AGREE II INSTRUMENT

DOMAIN 1. SCOPE AND PURPOSE

1. The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

2. The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

3. The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 2. STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

4. The guideline development group includes individuals from all relevant professional groups.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4 X	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

5. The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought.

1 X Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
---------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

6. The target users of the guideline are clearly defined.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3 X	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 3. RIGOUR OF DEVELOPMENT

7. Systematic methods were used to search for evidence.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6 X	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------------------------

Comments

8. The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6 X	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------------------------

Comments

9. The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6 X	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 3. RIGOUR OF DEVELOPMENT continued

10. The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6 X	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------------------------

Comments

11. The health benefits, side effects, and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

12. There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 3. RIGOUR OF DEVELOPMENT continued

13. The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

Denne KKR bliver gennemlæst af DPOS, Interessegruppen for rykirurgi og DOS

14. A procedure for updating the guideline is provided.

1 X Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
---------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 4. CLARITY OF PRESENTATION

15. The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

16. The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

17. Key recommendations are easily identifiable.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5 X	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 5. APPLICABILITY

18. The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application.

1 Strongly Disagree	X	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------------------

Comments

19. The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
------------------------	--------------	---	---	---	---	---------------------

Comments

20. The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.

1 Strongly Disagree	X	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 5. APPLICABILITY continued

21. The guideline presents monitoring and/or auditing criteria.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

DOMAIN 6. EDITORIAL INDEPENDENCE

22. The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline.

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 X Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	------------------------------

Comments

23. Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed.

1 Strongly Disagree	2 X	3	4	5	6	7 Strongly Agree
-------------------------------	------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------------

Comments

OVERALL GUIDELINE ASSESSMENT

For each question, please choose the response which best characterizes the guideline assessed:

1. Rate the overall quality of this guideline.

1 Lowest possible quality	2	3	4 X	5	6	7 Highest possible quality
--	----------	----------	------------	----------	----------	---

2. I would recommend this guideline for use.

Yes	X
Yes, with modifications	
No	

NOTES

The literature is limited and cannot support any clear conclusion on this subject